Leveraging Global Capabilities in Support of Joint Warfighters



LTG Larry J. Dodgen Commanding General, U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command/U.S. Army Forces Strategic Command

By LTG Larry J. Dodgen

he events of the past few years have confirmed that threats to our nation's security and interests have become more diffuse, unpredictable, and challenging than ever before. The ongoing Global War on Terrorism (GWOT) and continued unrest and instability in multiple locations around the world illustrate the complexity of our times. The future is also uncertain. Due to the nature of the new strategic reality, our nation and Army will likely be involved in a protracted war, a point stressed frequently by General Peter J. Schoomaker, chief of staff, Army.¹

While it is clear that uncertainty will remain for the near future, a number of implications for our Army have become apparent regarding the future operational environment.²

- Increased likelihood of operations in "complex" terrain
- Difficulty in identifying adversaries from combatants
- Blurring of conventional, unconventional, and terror operations
- · Sophisticated asymmetric enemy capabilities
- Peer and near-peer threats in select niche areas
- Threats from weapons of mass destruction
- 3600 threat across the depth of the battlespace
- Strategic effects of tactical operations

Because the Army will operate as part of the Joint Force, our forces must be prepared to provide support to joint warfighters in a similar environment since they too will be affected by these same implications. These challenges will also require additional technologies, new concepts of employment, and interdependent capabilities from each of the Services. As noted by Secretary of Defense Donald H. Rumsfeld, "We need to change not only the capabilities at our disposal, but also how we think about war. All the high-tech weapons in the world will not transform the U.S. armed forces unless we also transform the way we think, the way we train, the way we exercise, and the way we fight."

The control of - and the fight for access to - information relevant to warfighters will be essential in future warfare.

Gaining and maintaining information superiority will be an operational imperative. Battlefield information must be collected, processed into actionable information, and rapidly disseminated to commanders who can use it to shape and influence the battlespace. Domination of the information domain will require robust capabilities, seamless communications, and enhanced situational awareness for all members of the joint force, not just the Army's ground forces. Success will depend on the timely exploitation of this actionable information inside our adversary's decision cycle.

The evolving nature of the threat and advances in technology have made the use of Space essential to combat success for our joint forces. Space capabilities are recognized as a significant force multiplier when integrated into joint operations. There is simply no land-based alternative to many Space-based products and services, particularly for communications and intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) capabilities. In this regard, two capabilities stand out as vital to joint warfighters: Joint Blue Force Situational Awareness and Space Control.

Situational Awareness: More Than a Map and Compass

Combat favors those who have more accurate and timely awareness of the battlespace, essentially being able to answer the timeless questions – "Where am I? Where's my buddy? Where's the enemy?" Timely and accurate answers to these questions provide enhanced situational awareness, the essence of information superiority. One key technology that has provided the friendly force component of information superiority in Afghanistan and Iraq is Blue Force Tracking (BFT). BFT, defined as "the employment of techniques to actively or passively identify and track US, allied, or coalition forces for the purpose of providing the combatant commander enhanced battlespace situational awareness and reducing fratricide," answers the "where" (friendly location) and "who" (friendly unit identification) of situational awareness.⁵

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	s regarding this burden estimate ormation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE 2005		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2005 to 00-00-2005		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Leveraging Global Capabilities in Support of Joint Warfighters				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Army Space & Missile Defense Command, Army Forces Strategic Command, Redstone Arsenal, AL, 35809				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	ABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	OTES					
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	4		

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Combat favors those who have more accurate and timely awareness of the battlespace, essentially being able to answer the timeless questions — "Where am I? Where's my buddy? Where's the enemy?"

During the initial phases of Operations Enduring Freedom (OEF) and Iraqi Freedom (OIF), BFT systems provided warfighters significant contributions to situational awareness for friendly forces. With BFT systems, commanders were able to make decisions and employ their forces unrestrained by the limits of line-of-sight communications. Soldiers also observed BFT systems were sometimes the only accurate means of determining position location and navigating, especially under extremely poor visibility conditions. With BFT systems, subordinate units that might otherwise have become lost or separated often were able to regain their bearings. An additional, and perhaps more significant, benefit of shared situational awareness was the contribution BFT made in preventing incidents of fratricide. Even today, thousands of hand-held and vehicle-mounted devices, facilitated by the 24/7 capabilities of the Global Positioning System (GPS), contribute to situational awareness for friendly forces.

A variety of military and commercial BFT systems, using national, line-of-sight networks, or commercial satellite communications (SATCOM) architectures, have supported joint warfighters during OEF and OIF. By one count, more than 60 different BFT systems were employed during the initial combat phase in OIF. Unfortunately, each of these systems has different protocols and policies. Several lessons have resulted from the use of BFT devices, including the necessity to:

- Display all BFT data in an accurate common operational picture (COP)
- Select and display BFT data relevant to units' specific missions/ operations
- Send tailored, relevant Blue Force data to other users
- Expand and improve capabilities by increasing interoperability and mitigating line-of-sight, bandwidth, and track distribution limitations
- Establish common system standards and protocols

Significant steps have been taken to integrate the disparate BFT systems. In May 2003, the Joint Requirements Oversight Council approved a proposal to establish an Army-led integrated product team to report to the U.S. Joint Forces Command (USJFCOM). The team, comprising Service, combatant command, and special forces representatives, was assigned the mission to review every BFT system already fielded, find capability gaps, and assess new technologies. USJFCOM is responsible for developing a BFT family of systems, an effort termed as Joint Blue Force Situational Awareness (JBFSA).

JBFSA is defined as "the collection and integration of capabilities provided by systems or tracking devices and transmission media employed to obtain, report, and share Blue Force identification, location, status, and intent information. JBFSA includes both one-way and two-way active reporting capabilities, addresses all capabilities of BFT as well as "why" (friendly intent) and "what" (friendly status). JBFSA, vice BFT, describes the capability SMDC/ARSTRAT provides in support of joint warfighters with enhancements provided to the Joint Mission Management Center (Joint MMC) in Colorado Springs, Colorado.

In an effort to address the operational necessity to display all

JBFSA data in an accurate COP, the Space and Missile Defense Future Warfare Center undertook an Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD) in fiscal year 2003 to improve the capabilities to select, receive, and display current JBFSA data. The JBFSA ACTD is focused on providing an integrated architecture to address the current disparate BFT systems, an interim concept of operations, and integration standards for future JBFSA capabilities and systems. The goal of the ACTD is to forge the resulting interoperability information into an accurate COP and provide a relevant level of situational awareness for joint warfighters.

During the combined field training exercises for U.S. and Republic of Korea forces, Foal Eagle 04, conducted in March 2004, the ACTD successfully demonstrated the ability to integrate eight BFT devices (national, commercial, and line-of-sight systems); Force XXI Battle Command Battalion/Brigade and Below (FBCB2), Movement Tracking System (MTS), Grenadier BRAT (Cobra), Mini transmitter (MTX, Cobra), OmniTRACS/DTRACS(Defense Transportation Recording And Control System), Marine Data Automated Communications Terminal (MDACT), Orbcomm (commercial logistics tracking, and Talon Reach (Air Force prototype BFT device using Iridium) into the JBFSA ACTD architecture. Additionally, the ACTD disseminated and displayed from the Joint MMC into the Pacific Command theater a consistent blue force picture within the Global Command and Control family of systems.

As a result of the proven success of the ACTD, a system developed under the JBFSA ACTD is being installed in the SMDC/ARSTRAT Joint MMC. The ACTD's integration function is being incorporated into the Joint MMC and will be available for the Geographic Combatant Commands and their components later this fiscal year. As additional line-of-sight receivers or other sources of BFT data are employed (aircraft, UAVs, aerostats) the Joint MMC testbed will integrate this BFT data into the architecture.

Space Control: Protecting Our Space-Based Capabilities

By one estimate, more than 3,000 operational payloads are in orbit, nearly 1,000 of which belong to the U.S.⁸ As such, protecting our ability to leverage the products and services provided by Spacebased assets, and denying an adversary the same could be pivotal to the success of future U.S. military operations. Assured access is also vital to our nation's economic and social well-being. Today, Spacebased capabilities are essential to virtually all aspects of our society, ranging from communications to financial transactions.

Space Control capabilities are quickly becoming critical to our assured access to Space. In testimony to Congress, the Honorable Peter J. Teets, former Undersecretary of the Air Force, noted, "Because we rely so heavily on Space capabilities, we must be prepared, when directed, to confront our adversaries on the 'high ground' of Space. Our intent is to use diplomatic or other non-lethal means to preclude hostile use of Space. If these measures fail, we reserve the right under international law to take defensive action against an adversary's Space capability." Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld also

(See Leveraging Capabilities, page 50)

Leveraging Capabilities ... from page 5

noted this importance with the observation, "Our dependence on operations in Space makes us somewhat vulnerable to new challenges. It's only logical to conclude that we must be attentive to these vulnerabilities and pay careful attention to protecting and promoting our interest in Space." The recent steps taken by the Department of Defense (DoD) to request Presidential approval for revision of the National Space Policy, last updated in September 1996, is a clear recognition of the importance of assured access to Space to our national security.

Although the U.S. currently possesses overwhelming Space capabilities, our dominance in Space is not guaranteed. Adversaries are quickly developing adaptive strategies, tactics, and capabilities to exploit our perceived vulnerabilities and to counter or mitigate our strengths. The rapid growth in global Space capabilities increases potential adversaries' ability to monitor our forces and potentially negate our advantages in Space. Threats may arise from many sources, including: cyber attacks, terrorist strikes, and jamming against ground segments or stations; radio frequency jamming that interferes with Space system links; and lasers that temporarily degrade or destroy satellite subsystems.11

A recent U.S. Air Force assessment noted, "Adversaries can conduct attacks against our Space capabilities using various methods both symmetric and asymmetric. Adversaries may have the capacity to develop counter-Space capabilities but, in many cases, may simply acquire them from a third party." Adversaries can purchase Space products and services, such as imagery and communications, which often rival those available to our own military forces. As an example, satellite imagery of 1-meter resolution is currently available for purchase from commercial sources. Our ability to purchase all the shutter time for high quality commercial imagery satellites may be limited in the future, as contrasted to the early combat phases of OEF when the National Imagery Mapping

Agency (later renamed to the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency) bought up all the shutter time for the Ikonos satellite.¹³

Space Control ensures the use of Space while denying it to our adversaries, if required, and includes: surveillance of Space; protection of U.S. and friendly Space systems from hostile threats and environmental hazards; prevention of an adversary from exploiting U.S. or Allies' Space services; and negation of Space systems and services used for purposes hostile to U.S. national security interests.¹⁴ Space Control systems both protect the force from enemy Space ISR and deny the enemy the use of Space capabilities. This capability mitigates risk while providing multiple benefits to combatant commanders, including:

- Protecting against adversary Space-based ISR during staging of forces, embarkation, and debarkation
- Denying adversaries the capability to observe our actions
- Reducing adversaries' lethality, thus reducing casualties and loss of equipment
- Maintaining the element of surprise through superior assured access to ISR and communications
- Denying adversaries the capability to command and control, reducing his responsiveness
- Maintaining friendly situational awareness advantage
- Allowing freedom of maneuver and footprint reduction
- Providing situational awareness of adversary as well as commercial satellites (location, activity, surveillance)

Space Control is a joint mission, and the U.S. Air Force is the lead Service for this area. The U.S. Air Force recently published in Air Force Doctrine Document 2-2.1 policy and guidance concerning counterspace operations. ¹⁵ I encourage you to review this publication both for its thoughtful treatment of this issue as well as the use of termi-

nology and evolving concepts. AFDD 2-2.1 identifies the Space Control mission areas as "Situational awareness, the 'fundamental underpinning' of Space operations; defensive counterspace, or protecting US assets; and offensive counterspace, used to maintain our ability to operate in the medium of Space." ¹¹⁶

Significant efforts are ongoing across the DoD to enhance our Space Control capabilities, including hardening of assets and research into the feasibility of direct attack of enemy capabilities by kinetic or directed energy weapons, electronic disruption, or denial of use of Space systems. The U.S. Air Force is also taking steps to achieve Space situation awareness by modernizing Space surveillance infrastructure, developing a single integrated Space picture, and protecting navigation and timing capabilities by improving GPS with increased power, anti-jam, and spoofing capabilities.17

SMDC/ARSTRAT, responsible for providing Space Control operations and Space support to the joint force and Army component, also develops technologies to protect our Space systems (on-orbit elements, ground stations and communications link segments) from electronic warfare and potential denial, disruption, or destruction. The U.S. Army Kwajalein Atoll facility provides unique Space surveillance capabilities. Ground-based Space surveillance systems assist the commander, USSTRATCOM, in identifying and characterizing potential adversary Space capabilities.

The High Energy Laser Systems Test Facility at White Sands Missile Range, N.M., helps determine the vulnerability of satellites to laser weapons. The Space and Missile Defense Technical Center in Huntsville, Ala., is conducting research on hardening and electromagnetic pulse that will enhance the survivability of our Space systems. The 1st Space Battalion's 3rd Space Company provides an operational capability in support of our joint warfighters.

Our Legacy to the Future

All military operations today are affected by Space-based communications, imagery, positioning and location support, missile warning, and related capabilities. As the Army transforms itself for the future, Space will be essential for achieving dominance necessary for the conduct of full-spectrum joint operations. Future Joint Warfighters will expect Space support on demand. The capabilities currently available, although vital to support of our joint warfighters in the GWOT, must evolve to support the operational requirements of the future. Today's Space professionals are vital to that process. Secure the High Ground!

Notes

 General Peter J. Schoomaker, published in the foreword of Serving a Nation at War: A Campaign Quality Army with Joint and Expeditionary Capabilities, on-line at http://www.army.mil/jec/>

- 2. General Kevin Byrnes PowerPoint briefing, "The Future Operational Environment: "Implications for Ground Forces," on-line at http://www.dtic.mil/ndia/2004precision winter roundtable/byrnes.pdf>
- 3. Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, remarks at the National Defense University, 31 January 2002, on-line at http://www.defenselink.mil/speeches/2002/s20020131-secdef.html
- 4. Joint Publication 3-14, Joint Doctrine for Space Operations, 9 August 2002, pg vii, on-line at http://www.dtic.mil/doctrine/jel/new pubs/jp3 14.pdf>
- 5. Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction 8910.01A, Joint Blue Force Situational Awareness Operations Guidance, 30 April 2004, on-line at https://ca.dtic.mil/cjcs_directives/cdata/limited/8910_01.pdf
- 6. Daniel Gouré, "Standardize Blue-Force Tracking," 8 November 2004, on-line at ">http://www.defensen
- 7. JBFSA Operations Concept, 20 May 2004
- 8. Center for Space Standards and Innovation, 16 May 2005, on-line at http://celestrak.com/satcat/boxscore.asp
- 9. The Honorable Donald H. Rumsfeld, 8 May 2001, on-line at http://www.defense.gov/news/May2001/n05102001 200105104.html>
- 10. Honorable Peter J. Teets, House Armed Services Subcommittee on Strategic Forces, 9 March 2005, on-line

- at http://www.house.gov/hasc/testimony/109thcongress/Strategic%20Forces/3-9-05Teets.pdf
- 11. CRS Report for Congress; Military Role in Space Control: A Primer, 23 September 2004, on-line at http://www.fas.org/man/crs/RL32602.pdf
- 12. Air Force Doctrine Document 2-2.1, Counterspace Operations, 2 August 2004, on-line at <www.dtic.mil/doctrine/jel/service_pubs/afdd2_2_1.pdf>
- 13. Interview with Lieutenant General Joseph M. Cosumano Jr.; Military Aerospace Technology Online Archives, originally published on 1 August 2002 in volume 1, issue 4, on-line at http://www.military-aerospace-technology.com/article.cfm?DocID=299>
- 14. Joint Publication 3-14, Joint Doctrine for Space Operations, 9 August 2002, on-line at http://www.dtic.mil/doctrine/jel/new_pubs/jp3_14.pdf
- 15. AFDD 2-2.1 IBID
- 16. General Lance W. Lord, Commander, U.S. Air Force Space Command, remarks at Ramstein Air Force Base, Germany, 16 April 2005, on-line at http://www.usafe.af.mil/news/news05/uns05114.htm
- 17. Lord, Military Aerospace Technology Online Archives, volume 2, issue 1; published on 2 February 2003, on-line at http://www.military-aerospace-technology.com/article.cfm?DocID=89>
- 18. AUSA Background Brief 85, The Army's Interest in Space Control, December 1999, on-line at http://www.ausa.org/ilw